

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/556,807	Applicant(s) KNOX ET AL.
	Examiner ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK	Art Unit 2624

All Participants:**Status of Application:** *non-final rejection*(1) ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK.

(3) _____.

(2) Brian W. Hannon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2010**Time:** 2:00 pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

prior art

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 6, 7, and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

JPN 10154284

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/A. O. F./
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised that the JPN 10154284 reference provided on the IDS filed 07/07/2010 rendered claims 1, 6, 7, and 8 unpatentable. Applicant was advised that claim 4 distinguished over this reference and was given the opportunity to authorize an Examiner's Amendment. Applicant opted to receive the office action instead .